# Homework #7

## Math 25b

#### Due: April 19th, 2017

Guidelines:

- You must type up your solutions to this assignment in LATEX. There's a template available on the course website.
- This homework is divided into four parts. You will turn each part in to a separate CA's mailbox on the second floor of the science center. So, be sure to do the parts on *separate* pieces of paper.
- If your submission to any particular CA takes multiple pages, then *staple them together*. If you don't own one, a stapler is available in the Cabot Library in the Science Center.
- Be sure to put your *name* at the top of each part, so that we know who to score!
- If you collaborate with other students, please announce that somewhere (ideally: next to the problems you collaborated on) so that we don't get suspicious of hyper-similar answers.

Failure to meet these guidelines may result in loss of points. (Staple your pages!)<sup>1</sup>

# 1 For submission to Thayer Anderson

**Problem 1.1.** Let  $R_{\text{out}} > R_{\text{in}} > 0$  be the positive radii of two concentric circles in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , both centered at the origin. Call the circles  $C_{\text{out}}$  and  $C_{\text{in}}$ . Construct a 2-chain  $\sigma$  with  $\partial \sigma = C_{\text{out}} - C_{\text{in}}$ .

**Problem 1.2.** Again fixing a radius R, let  $C_R$  be the circle of radius R centered at the origin.

- 1. Show  $\int_{C_R} d\theta = 2\pi$ , independent of R.
- 2. Conclude that there is no 2-chain  $\sigma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  for which  $\partial \sigma = C_R^2$ .

### 2 For submission to Davis Lazowski

**Problem 2.1.** In Problem 2.2 of the previous assignment, you calculated the polar 1-form  $d\theta$  in terms of dx and dy, where you found

$$d\theta = \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2} dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dy$$

A useful consequence of this is that  $d\theta$  extends to a smooth 1-form on all of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ .

1. Use this result to show that there is no way to "correct" the deleted strip  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\})$ : show that if f is some other function with  $df = d\theta$ , then  $f = \theta + c$  for some constant c.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This version of the homework dates from April 18, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Congratulations! You just solved a problem from Math 231a.

2. Let  $\omega$  be a 1-form on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  with  $d\omega = 0$ . Show that there is a constant  $\lambda$  and a function  $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$  with

$$\omega = \lambda \,\mathrm{d}\theta + \,\mathrm{d}g,$$

i.e., the un-preimage-able 1-form  $d\theta$  is the only defect of the Poincaré Lemma on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Problem 2.2.** Let c be a singular k-cube, let  $\omega$  be a k-form, and write

$$c^*\omega = f(x_1, \dots, x_k) \,\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \,\mathrm{d}x_k$$

for the pullback. In class, we defined the integral of  $\omega$  over c by the pullback formula

$$\int_c \omega = \int_{[0,1]^{\times k}} f \, \mathrm{d} x_1 \cdots \, \mathrm{d} x_k.$$

Let  $r: [0,1]^{\times k} \to [0,1]^{\times k}$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  bijection with det  $D_x r > 0$  for all x. Show

$$\int_c \omega = \int_{c \circ r} \omega,$$

i.e., the integral of a form is independent of the parametrization of its domain.

- **Problem 2.3.** 1. Let c be a singular 1-cube in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  with c(0) = c(1), and let  $C_1$  be the singular 1-cube parametrizing the unit circle. Show that there is a number n and a 2-chain  $\sigma$  such that  $\partial \sigma = nC_1 c^{3}$ .
  - 2. Use Stokes's theorem to show that the *n* associated in this way to *c* is unique—it does not change even if you choose a different 2–chain  $\sigma$ .

# 3 For submission to Handong Park

**Problem 3.1.** For  $\omega$  a nonzero k-form, show that there is a singular k-cube c with  $\int_c \omega \neq 0$ . Using  $\partial \partial \sigma = 0$ , conclude  $d(d\omega) = 0$  (i.e., mixed partials commute).

**Problem 3.2.** Let  $f(z) = z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1z + a_0$  be a complex polynomial, considered as a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $C_R$  denote the circle centered at the origin of radius R, and consider the curve  $f \circ C_R$ .

1. Define a singular 2–cube  $\sigma$  by the formula

$$\sigma(t,x) = t \cdot (f \circ C_R(x)) + (1-t) \cdot R(\cos(2\pi nx) + i\sin(2\pi nx)).$$

Show that this interpolates between  $f \circ C_R$  and  $nC_R$  in the sense that  $\partial \sigma = f \circ C_R - nC_R$ .

- 2. Show that for  $R \gg 0$ ,  $\sigma$  factors through  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ .
- 3. Conclude from Problem 1.2 the fundamental theorem of algebra: the polynomial f has a root in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## 4 For submission to Rohil Prasad

In this section, we continue the analysis of functions on the complex plane initiated above. This is quite long—good luck!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Spivak suggests that you split the domain of c into subintervals with either nonnegative y-values or nonnegative y-values. This is a good idea, but it really requires you to use the definition of a singular 1-cube as a *smooth* function, so beware.

**Problem 4.1.** A function  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  is said to be *complex-differentiable* when the limit

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h}$$

exists. Note that this is considerably more complicated than being differentiable as a function  $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ , since we *aren't* taking the norm in the denominator, and so we are multiplying two complex numbers together, which has funny effects. We shorthand "f is continuously complex-differentiable on an open set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ " to "f is *holomorphic* on A".

- 1. Show that f(z) = z is holomorphic and that  $f(z) = \overline{z}$  is *not*. Show that the sum, product, and inverse (where nonzero) of holomorphic functions are holomorphic.
- 2. Write an holomorphic function f as f(x+iy) = u(x+iy) + iv(x+iy) for two functions  $u, v \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ . Demonstrate the Cauchy–Riemann equations:<sup>4</sup>

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$

3. Let  $T: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear transformation, where  $\mathbb{C}$  is considered as a real vector space on the basis  $\{1, i\}$ , yielding a matrix presentation

$$T = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}\right).$$

Show that T is multiplication by a complex number if and only if a = d and b = -c. Compare this with the previous part: a generic holomorphic function  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  has both complex derivative f'(a) at a point as well as a multivariate derivative  $D_a f$ . How are these related?

4. Extend the standard operations on 1-forms to complex 1-forms  $\omega + i\eta$  by the formulas

$$d(\omega + i\eta) = d\omega + i \, d\eta, \qquad \int_c (\omega + i\eta) = \int_c \omega + i \int_c \eta, \qquad dz = dx + i \, dy,$$
$$(\omega + i\eta) \wedge (\psi + i\varphi) = (\omega \wedge \psi - \eta \wedge \varphi) + i(\omega \wedge \varphi + \eta \wedge \psi).$$

Show that d(f dz) = 0 if and only if  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the Cauchy–Riemann equations.

- 5. (Cauchy Integral Theorem:) If f is holomorphic on A and c is a closed curve with  $c = \partial \sigma$  for some 2-chain  $\sigma$ , then  $\int_c f \, dz = 0$ .
- 6. In the example f(z) = 1/z, show  $f \cdot dz = i d\theta + dh$  for some auxiliary function  $h: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Use Problem 1.2 to conclude

$$\int_{C_R} f \cdot \mathrm{d}z = 2\pi i n.$$

7. Let f be holomorphic on  $\{z : |z| < 1\}$ , and define g(z) = f(z)/z which is holomorphic on  $\{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ . 1]. For  $0 < R_{in} < R_{out} < 1$  as in Problem 1.1, conclude

$$\int_{C_{\rm in}} \frac{f(z)}{z} \, \mathrm{d}z = \int_{C_{\rm out}} \frac{f(z)}{z} \, \mathrm{d}z.$$

Finally, take the limit  $R_{\rm in} \rightarrow 0$  and conclude the Cauchy Integral Formula:

$$f(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{\text{out}}} \frac{f(z)}{z} \,\mathrm{d}z.$$

This formula is super remarkable: note that the value of f at 0 is completely determined by its values on the unit circle—all of which are very far away from 0!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Hint: make the approach for  $h \to 0$  along the two standard axes.