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## Langlands, letter to Weil, January 1967

"In response to your invitation to come and talk I wrote the enclosed letter. After I wrote it I realized there was hardly a statement in it of which I was certain. If you are willing to read it as pure speculation I would appreciate that; if not-I am sure you have a waste basket handy."

## About this talk

## Philosophy of mathematics

"Mathematics is the art of giving the same name to different things."
Henri Poincaré
"...The Langlands Program is a Grand Unified Theory of mathematics."
Edward Frenkel
"You should give a talk about this."
Jeff Cordova
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## Philosophy of mathematics

"Mathematics is the art of giving the same name to different things."
"...The Langlands Program is a Grand Unified Theory of mathematics."
Edward Frenkel
"You should give a talk about this."

## This stuff is hard

You could pursue a PhD about the contents of any one of these sections.
My PhD is about something else.

## Warning to mathematicians

My target audience is experimental physicists. Theorem statements will elide details, especially as I aim to avoid mentioning the adèles. Forgive me.

## Complex geometry

A Riemann surface is a closed surface which is built by gluing together (pieces of) copies of the complex plane so that "rotation by i" agrees on each overlap.


Riemann surfaces

no bueno
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## Complex geometry

All functions are assumed to have series expansions.

## Example

Functions to the sphere $=$ functions with poles. $\leftarrow$ "meromorphic functions"
Anatomy of a meromorphic function

$$
w=z^{3}
$$

- Three sheeted cover
- Bad point: "ramification"
- Wandering preimages: "monodromy"


## Complex geometry

## Theorem

The set of meromorphic functions totally determines the Riemann surface.


Glossary:

- $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ : the sphere
- $\mathbb{C}(z)$ : fractions of polynomials in $z$
$\llbracket$.
- $w=z^{3}$


## Algebraic number theory

## More fields

- A number field $K$ is the rationals + some extra numbers that serve as distinct roots of some given polynomials.
- Its small Galois group, gal(K), tracks the ways to reassign those extra numbers among each other.


## Examples

$$
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
\text { Roots } & \text { one root of } x^{2}-2 & \text { one root of } x^{3}-2 & \text { all roots of } x^{3}-2 \\
\operatorname{gal}(K) & C_{2} & \text { trivial } & \Sigma_{3}
\end{array}
$$

## Algebraic number theory

The relative Galois group of a pair of number fields $K \subseteq L$ is the part of the small Galois group of $L$ that fixes $K$. The big Galois group of a number field $K$, Gal $(K)$, is the union of all the Galois groups for $K \subseteq L$, $L$ large.

## Theorem

If $\operatorname{Gal}(K)$ surjects onto $G$, there is a number field $L \supseteq K$ with Galois group $G$.

## Maxim

Complicated Gal $(K) \rightsquigarrow$ lots of surjections $\rightsquigarrow$ lots of fields $\rightsquigarrow$ complicated $K$.

## Algebraic geometry
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## Algebraic geometry

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
z^{3} \\
x^{3}-2
\end{array}\right\} \text { is ramified at }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
w=0 \\
z=0
\end{array}\right\} \text { because of the repeated root. }
$$

## Weil's Rosetta stone

Diophantine equation
(e.g., $0=x^{3}-2$ )

R. These are related through their common source.

## Analytic number theory

Prime factorization in analysis

$$
\zeta(5)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{5}}=\frac{1}{1^{5}}+\frac{1}{2^{5}}+\frac{1}{3^{5}}+\frac{1}{4^{5}}+\frac{1}{5^{5}}+\frac{1}{6^{5}}+\cdots
$$

$\zeta(5)$ diverges at $s=1$, converges to the right of this point.
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## Analytic number theory

Prime factorization in analysis

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta(5) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{5}}=\frac{1}{1^{5}}+\frac{1}{2^{5}}+\frac{1}{3^{5}}+\frac{1}{4^{5}}+\frac{1}{5^{5}}+\frac{1}{6^{5}}+\cdots \\
& =\prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{1}{p^{5}}+\frac{1}{p^{25}}+\cdots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\zeta(5)$ diverges at $5=1$, converges to the right of this point.
Goofy example
There are infinitely many prime numbers:

$$
\infty \stackrel{s \rightarrow 1^{+}}{\leftarrow} \log \zeta(s)=\log \left(\prod_{p} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}\right)=\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p^{5}}+\text { bdd } \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow 1^{+}} \sum_{p} \frac{1}{p}+\text { const. }
$$

## Harmonic analysis

A character of a group $G$ is a function $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\chi\left(g g^{\prime}\right)=\chi(g) \chi\left(g^{\prime}\right)$.

## Theorem

A nice complex function on a nice commutative group can be written as a sum of characters. There are "enough" characters to form a basis of all functions.

The function space has an inner product:

$$
f=\sum_{\chi}\langle\chi \mid f\rangle \cdot \chi ; \quad\langle\chi \mid f\rangle=\int_{G} \chi\left(g^{-1}\right) f(g) \mathrm{d} \mu .
$$
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## Theorem

A nice complex function on a nice commutative group can be written as a sum of characters. There are "enough" characters to form a basis of all functions.

The function space has an inner product:

$$
f=\sum_{\chi}\langle\chi \mid f\rangle \cdot \chi ; \quad\langle\chi \mid f\rangle=\int_{G} \chi\left(g^{-1}\right) f(g) \mathrm{d} \mu .
$$

## Examples

- Functions on $\mathbb{R}: \chi_{a}(x)=e^{2 \pi i \cdot a \cdot x}, a \in \mathbb{R}$. Fourier transform: $\mathcal{F}\{f\}(a)=\left\langle\chi_{a} \mid f\right\rangle$.
- Functions on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}: \chi_{a}(x)=x^{a}, a \in \mathbb{R}$. Mellin transform: $\mathcal{M}\{f\}(a)=\left\langle\chi_{a} \mid \dagger\right\rangle$.


## Harmonic number theory

## Direct calculation

$\mathcal{M}\left\{e^{-\pi n^{2} z}\right\}=\pi^{-5} \Gamma(s) n^{-25}$ for some fixed function $\Gamma(s)$.

$$
\mathcal{M}\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2} z}\right\}(s / 2)=\pi^{-s / 2} \Gamma(s / 2) \zeta(s)=: Z(s) .
$$

- Multiplicative Fourier transform of $e^{2 \pi i n^{2} z}$, an additive character.
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## Direct calculation

$\mathcal{M}\left\{e^{-\pi n^{2} z}\right\}=\pi^{-5} \Gamma(s) n^{-25}$ for some fixed function $\Gamma(s)$.

$$
\mathcal{M}\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2} z}\right\}(s / 2)=\pi^{-s / 2} \Gamma(s / 2) \zeta(s)=: Z(s) .
$$

- Multiplicative Fourier transform of $e^{2 \pi n^{2} z}$, an additive character.
- Fourier self-duality:

$$
\left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(n)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}\{\psi\}(n)\right]+\left[\mathcal{F}\left\{e^{\pi \cdot \cdot n \cdot:}\right\}(5)=e^{\pi \cdot n \cdot \cdot} \cdot 5 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad Z(5)=Z(1-s) .\right.
$$

- Riemann hypothesis: $\mathcal{F}\{$ Dirac comb at zeroes of $Z\} \approx$ Dirac comb at $\log p$
- Tate's thesis: $Z=Z_{\infty} \cdot \Pi_{p} Z_{p} ; Z_{p}(s)=\left(1-p^{s}\right)^{-1} ; Z_{\infty}(s)=\pi^{-5 / 2} \Gamma(s / 2)$, all coming from analogues of Mellin transforms of characters.


## Harmonic number theory

## Other $\zeta$-functions

- A Dirichlet character $\chi$ is a character with domain $(\mathbb{N}, \times)$ (Not a group!) with values in roots of unity.

$$
\zeta_{\chi}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{5}}=\prod_{p} \frac{1}{1-\chi(p) p^{-5}}
$$

Theorem: Nontrivial character $\Rightarrow \zeta_{\chi}(1)$ converges. Application: $\infty$-ly many sol'ns to $p=n d+r$ for fixed coprime $d$, $r$.
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## Other $\zeta$-functions

- A Dirichlet character $\chi$ is a character with domain $(\mathbb{N}, \times)$ with values in roots of unity.

$$
\zeta_{\chi}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{5}}=\prod_{p} \frac{1}{1-\chi(p) p^{-s}}
$$

Theorem: Nontrivial character $\Rightarrow \zeta_{\chi}(1)$ converges.
Application: $\infty$-ly many sol'ns to $p=n d+r$ for fixed coprime $d, r$.

- Artin $\zeta$-functions: Replace a character's target $\mathbb{C}$ with $n \times n$ matrices, called a representation. For $G=\operatorname{Gal}(K)$, can produce a $\zeta$-function.
- Lots of examples. (Main ones: cohomology groups.)
- Seems to contain interesting information.
- Mysterious: only behaves well for representations "coming from geometry".
- Almost impossible to prove theorems. ( $\zeta_{\rho}(1)$ ?)


## $\zeta$-function for an elliptic curve

Example elliptic curve: $C=\left\{y^{2}+y=x^{3}-x^{2}\right\}$


Properties of $\zeta_{c}$ :

- The number of points in C over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ is $p-a_{p}$.
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- The series $f_{C}$ converges on the unit disk.
- $f_{C}$ is modular: scales predictably under Möbius transformations $\left(S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$.


## $\zeta$-function for an elliptic curve

Example elliptic curve: $C=\left\{y^{2}+y=x^{3}-x^{2}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (-1.5-1.0-0.5 } \int_{0.5}^{0.5} \\
& =q-2 q^{2}-q^{3}+2 q^{4}+q^{5}+2 q^{6}-2 q^{7}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Properties of $\zeta_{C}$ :

- The number of points in $C$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ is $p-a_{p}$.
- For certain $C$, there is a related $f_{C}$ with $\mathcal{M}\left\{f_{C}\left(e^{2 \pi i z}\right)\right\}=\zeta_{C}(5)$.
- The series $f_{C}$ converges on the unit disk.
- $f_{C}$ is modular: scales predictably under Möbius transformations $\left(S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$.
- (Shimura-Taniyama conjecture / modularity theorem / Abel Prize 2016:) For every $C$, there is an $f_{C}$. If $C, C^{\prime}$ have the same $f$, they're equivalent.


## The Langlands Program



Main point: The correspondence from the previous slide is "general behavior".
Wrinkles: Special behavior of $f_{C}$ got stuck into another representation.

## The Langlands Program




Main point: The correspondence from the previous slide is "general behavior".
Wrinkles: Special behavior of $f_{c}$ got stuck into another representation. Different extra structures.

## Examples of Langlands duals

|  | ${ }_{5 L}$ | $50(2 n+1)$ | $\operatorname{Spin}(2 n)$ | 50(2n) | SU(n) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rig | PGL $L_{n}$ | Sp(2n) | $50(2 n) / Z$ | SO(2n) | $5 U(n) / Z$ |  |  |

f. General formula: replace $\mathfrak{g}+$ root system by $\mathfrak{g}^{\vee}+$ coroots.

## Geometric Langlands



## Geometric Langlands



## Geometric Langlands



Langlands duality in complex geometry
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { rank } d \text { vector bundles } \\ \text { with connection } \nabla\end{array}\right\} \stackrel{\mathcal{M}}{\longleftrightarrow}\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { on moduli of } d \text {-dimensional v.b. } s\end{array}\right\}$

## Geometric Langlands



Langlands duality in complex geometry
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { rank } d \text { vector bundles } \\ \text { with connection } \nabla \\ \text { and extra structure }\end{array}\right\} \stackrel{\mathcal{M}}{\longleftrightarrow}\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Don moduli of } d \text {-dimensional v.b.s } \\ \text { and different extra structure }\end{array}\right\}$
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Nonabelian E-M duality (Montonen-Olive / Kapustin-Witten)

$$
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\mathcal{N}=4 \text { SUSY Yang-Mills } \\
\text { gauge group } G \\
\text { coupling } \tau=\theta / 2 \pi+4 \pi i / g^{2}
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\mathcal{N}=4 \text { SUSY Yang-Mills } \\
\text { gauge group } G^{V} \\
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## Quantum field theory

Electromagnetic duality
$\{(E, B)$ satisfying Maxwell's $\} \longleftrightarrow\{(B,-E)$ satisfying Maxwell's $\}$
electric monopole, charge $e \longleftrightarrow$ magnetic monopole, charge 1/e
Nonabelian E-M duality (Montonen-Olive / Kapustin-Witten)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{N}=4 \text { SUSY Yang-Mills } \\
\text { gauge group } G \\
\text { coupling } \tau=\theta / 2 \pi+4 \pi i / g^{2}
\end{array}\right\} \longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{N}=4 \text { SUSY Yang-Mills } \\
\text { gauge group } G^{V} \\
\text { coupling }-1 / n_{G} \tau
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In general: "5-duality"
Physical number theory?
Irreducible Galois rep's are the "fundamental particles" of number theory.

## Thank you!

©

